

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/038,842		01/03/2002	Jimmy T. Doan	453390	9709
27717	7590	04/24/2003			
SEYFARTH SHAW 55 EAST MONROE STREET SUITE 4200				EXAMINER	
				ANDERSON, GERALD A	
CHICAGO, IL 60603-5803				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3637	
				DATE MAILED: 04/24/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) DOAN ET AL. 10/038,842 Office Action Summary **Examiner Art Unit** JERRY A ANDERSON 3637 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **Status** Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____. 2b) This action is non-final. 2a) This action is **FINAL**. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** 4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7, 14-25 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 13</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 12 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.3.

6) Other:

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)



Art Unit: 3637

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-13, drawn to a drawer with a tray, classified in class 312, subclass 308.
- II. Claims 14-20, drawn to a tray, classified in class 211, subclass 135.
- III. Claims 21-25, drawn to a method of locking a drawer, classified in class72, subclass 85.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the tray of claim 1 does not require a lock mechanism. The subcombination has separate utility such as a closure for a cabinet.

Inventions I and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP §

Application/Control Number: 10/038,842

Art Unit: 3637

806.05(e)). In this case the process can be practiced by a tray sliding in slots in a drawer and not on the wall structure.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the species of Figures 1-6 and the species of Figures 7-10.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic to both species.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/038,842

Art Unit: 3637

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

During a telephone conversation with Mr. H. Stotland on 16 April 2003 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, Figures 1-6, claims 1-6, 8-14. Applicant in replying to this Office action must make affirmation of this election. Claim 7 is withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 23 July 2002 fails to complies with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1), listing of all patents, publications, or other information submitted. The dates of the foreign documents are omitted. The date provided should be the month and year of publication in the form MM/YYYY. The day of the publication can be omitted.

Rejections - 35 USC § 103



Application/Control Number: 10/038,842

Art Unit: 3637

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-6, 8-11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Propst et al in view of Klug, Kress and Heinrichs. Propst is cited showing a drawer 10 with a tray 100 with flanges 101 and a bottom wall below the peripheral walls 13, see Figure 9. Propst fails to show a partition, a rear wall flange or keyed lock. Klug is cited showing a drawer 15 with a partition 19, 20 and a tray 22 with a keyed lock 24, 34, 41 for the purpose securitization of a portion of the drawer. Kress is cited showing a rear wall flange14 provided to overlap a sliding shelf. Heinrichs is cited showing a drawer with a partitioned portion 1 and a closure 2 with a keyed lock 4 supported in a forward wall of the closure engaging a partition wall 3 for the purpose securitization of a portion of the drawer. Since the references are from the same field of



Art Unit: 3637

endeavor the purpose of Klug, Kress and Heinrichs would have been obvious in the pertinent art of Propst at the time of the invention it would have been obvious for one having an ordinary skill in the art to have modified Propst with a drawer having a partition and a tray having a lock for the purpose securing a portion of the drawer space in view of Klug, a rear wall flange14 provided to overlap a sliding shelf in view of Kress and with a drawer, partitioned portion closure with a lock engaging a partition wall for the purpose securing of a portion of the drawer in view of Heinrichs.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 12 would be allowable if rewritten to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerry Anderson whose telephone number is 703 038 2202. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703 308 24668. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 305 3597 for regular communications and 703 306 4195 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 2197.

Jaa April 21, 2003

> GERALÓ A. ANDERSON PATENT EXAMINER